

From Quantum Medium to Gravitational Sensor: A Triadic Framework for Unification via Energy, Information, and Movement

Conceptual Foundations: The Functional Distinction Between Medium and Sensor

The primary objective of this research is to develop and validate the Medium-Sensor Framework, a novel paradigm for unifying quantum mechanics and general relativity [40](#). This approach fundamentally reframes the unification problem not as a challenge of merging two incompatible mathematical formalisms but as one of identifying a functional complementarity between two distinct operational components of reality. In this view, quantum mechanics is conceptualized as the "Medium"—the fundamental substrate where all particles, fields, and microscopic rules operate—and gravity is the "Sensor"—a relational network that detects, organizes, and responds to the state of the Medium across space, time, and potentially hidden dimensions [7](#). The unifying principle is a scale-invariant dynamical loop defined by the triad of Energy, Information, and Movement, which serves as the bridge connecting these two functional layers. This conceptual separation provides a powerful lens through which to resolve long-standing paradoxes and generate new, testable hypotheses.

The "Medium" represents the content of the universe, the granular, discrete, probabilistic stage upon which all physical processes unfold [3](#) [93](#). It is the arena of quantum mechanics, where energy exists in quantized packets, information is encoded in quantum states, superpositions, and entanglements, and movement manifests as unitary time evolution and probability amplitudes [48](#). This perspective aligns with established theories like Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG), where the quantum fabric of space itself is represented by a dynamic spin network, and with String Theory, where fundamental vibrational modes of strings constitute the basic building blocks of matter and energy [50](#) [86](#) [111](#). Within the Medium-Sensor framework, the Medium contains the raw ingredients—energy, information, and movement—at their most fundamental level. Its behavior is governed by the principles of quantum uncertainty, non-locality, and discreteness, which

have historically posed a significant challenge to their integration with the smooth, continuous, deterministic description of spacetime provided by general relativity [1](#) [2](#) .

Conversely, gravity is recast as the "Sensor," an emergent phenomenon rather than a fundamental force mediated by a particle like the graviton [32](#) . The sensor's function is not to compete with quantum mechanics but to read and respond to its output, organizing quantum events into a coherent macroscopic picture [16](#) . This view elegantly explains why gravity is so weak compared to the other fundamental forces; it is not a primary interaction at the microscopic scale but a secondary effect that emerges from the collective behavior of the Medium, analogous to how temperature emerges from the statistical motion of molecules [40](#) . The Sensor operates at a coarser resolution than the underlying quantum processes, translating the fine-grained informational and energetic fluctuations of the Medium into the geometric language of spacetime curvature [33](#) . This process involves detecting the distribution and flow of energy and information, then responding by warping the geometric mesh that constitutes the gravitational field . This interpretation suggests that GR and QM are not contradictory because they describe different aspects of a single system operating on different functional levels and scales, rather than being mathematically incompatible [61](#) .

The core of the framework is the triadic rule of Energy, Information, and Movement, which forms a closed, self-updating dynamical loop that governs all change in the universe . This loop is not a sequence of separate steps but a continuous, interdependent cycle: 1. **Information:** Defines the state of the Medium, specifying its configuration, correlations, and distinguishable properties [44](#) [46](#) . In the quantum realm, this is described by the wavefunction, density matrix, and measures like entanglement entropy. 2. **Energy:** Provides the capacity for change, acting as the Hamiltonian operator that drives the evolution of the system's state over time [54](#) [58](#) . Without energy, the state remains frozen. 3. **Movement:** Is the execution of the transition from one state to another, carrying energy and rewriting information . At the quantum level, this is unitary evolution; at the macroscopic level, it is geodesic flow within a curved spacetime background.

This $I \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow I'$ loop ensures that the universe is never static but is instead a continuous flow of state updates [48](#) . The Sensor reads the accumulated effects of this loop—the gradients in information density and the flow of energy—and translates them into the observable phenomenon of gravity. Spacetime curvature is thus not a pre-existing stage but the Sensor's way of tracking how the Medium's state is changing over time [89](#) . This perspective transforms the nature of time itself, suggesting it emerges from the irreversible integration of the E-I-M loop by the Sensor, creating a cumulative record of state updates [3](#) . By establishing this clear functional distinction and a simple yet

powerful unifying rule, the Medium-Sensor framework offers a conceptually clear path toward resolving the foundational tensions between quantum mechanics and general relativity, moving the quest for a Theory of Everything from a purely mathematical endeavor toward a more empirically grounded and intuitively satisfying explanation of reality.

Mathematical Formalization of the E-I-M Dynamical Loop

To move beyond a conceptual model, the Medium-Sensor framework must be endowed with a rigorous mathematical structure that captures the interplay between the Quantum Medium and the Gravitational Sensor through the Energy-Information-Movement (E-I-M) loop. The foundation of this formalism lies in expressing the triad's dynamics through operator relations and generalized field equations, grounding the intuitive concepts in established principles of theoretical physics. The central mathematical anchor is an operator-based formulation of the E-I-M loop, which naturally extends from the Heisenberg equation of motion in quantum mechanics [1](#) [2](#) .

The core of the quantum dynamics is encapsulated by the relationship between the rate of change of information, the energy available for change, and the resulting movement. This is formally expressed using operator dynamics. Letting $\hat{I}$ represent the Information operator, $\hat{H}$ the Energy operator (Hamiltonian), and $\partial/\partial t$ the Movement operator, the fundamental relation is:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{I}}{\partial t} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}, \hat{I}]$$

This equation, the Heisenberg equation of motion, explicitly shows that Movement (the time derivative of Information) is generated by the action of Energy ($\hat{H}$) on Information ($\hat{I}$). The commutator $[\hat{H}, \hat{I}]$ quantifies how the Hamiltonian modifies the state distinctions encoded in the information operator. No energy ($\hat{H}=0$) implies no movement ($\partial \hat{I} / \partial t = 0$), meaning the information is static. Conversely, a non-zero Hamiltonian drives rapid changes in the quantum state, corresponding to fast information flow [49](#) [51](#) . To account for potential coarse-graining effects when scaling from the fine-grained Medium to the coarse-grained Sensor, a dissipative term $\mathcal{D}(\hat{I}, \hat{H})$ can be included, representing irreversible processes like decoherence or information loss to larger scales [61](#) . This

operator formulation provides a direct, first-principles derivation of the E-I-M loop within standard quantum theory, making it both robust and familiar.

Building upon this, the framework proposes a generalized form of Einstein's field equations that translates the geometric language of general relativity into the E-I-M meta-language . In this view, spacetime curvature, described by the Einstein tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$, is not a fundamental entity but the emergent response of the Gravity Sensor to the state of the Quantum Medium. The proposed equation is:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \kappa \left(\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \mathcal{I} + \langle T_{\mu\nu}(\hat{H}) \rangle_{\text{coarse}} \right)$$

Here, κ is the gravitational coupling constant, $\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \mathcal{I}$ represents the information gradient squared, and $\langle T_{\mu\nu}(\hat{H}) \rangle_{\text{coarse}}$ is the coarse-grained stress-energy tensor derived from the Hamiltonian [52](#). This equation reframes geometry as a direct consequence of the medium's informational and energetic properties. High information density or strong energy flows create pronounced information gradients ($\nabla^2 \mathcal{I}$), which the Sensor interprets as spacetime curvature. This perspective finds resonance in theories like the Quantum Memory Matrix (QMM), which posits that spacetime is discretized and records quantum events as imprints, and in holographic principles, where bulk geometry is reconstructed from boundary data [33](#) [94](#). The emergence of spacetime is therefore understood as a process of information processing by the Sensor, where geometric structures arise from the organization of quantum information [26](#) [27](#).

The simulation code provided in the context serves as a computational implementation of this formalism, albeit in a simplified, pedagogical form [1](#). The `QuantumMedium` class models the discrete lattice of oscillators, with its state vector ψ evolving under the influence of a Hamiltonian H . The `evolve` method implements the Movement step ($\psi(t+dt) = \exp(-iHt/\hbar) \psi(t)$). The `calculate_information` function computes the probability distribution $|\psi|^2$, which is a proxy for the local information content. The `get_energy_density` function calculates the local energy expectation value. These outputs are then fed into the `GravitySensor` class, which models the Sensor's response. The `sense` method implements the crucial bridge, calculating a curvature field based on the information gradient ($\nabla^2 \mathcal{I}$) and the energy density ($T(E)$). Finally, the `update_metric` method allows the Sensor's geometric field to respond dynamically to the curvature. This simulation demonstrates the full E-I-M loop in action: information evolves, energy drives this evolution, and the resulting changes in information and energy cause the sensor to update its geometry, thereby visualizing the unification principle in a tangible way [1](#) [2](#).

While this simulation provides a powerful proof-of-concept, the ultimate goal is to derive a complete action principle that generates these dynamics from first principles, similar to how the standard model Lagrangian describes particle interactions [1](#) [2](#) . Such an action would unify the quantum mechanical description of the Medium with the effective field theory description of the Sensor, providing the necessary formal backbone for publication in a peer-reviewed journal and enabling more sophisticated, quantitative predictions. The current mathematical structure, however, already provides a solid foundation, linking the abstract triad of Energy, Information, and Movement to concrete operators and equations that can be tested against experimental observations.

Alignment with Major Theories of Quantum Gravity

A critical strength of the Medium-Sensor framework is its ability to serve as a unifying meta-language that aligns with and provides a conceptual scaffold for three of the most prominent approaches to quantum gravity: String Theory, Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG), and the Holographic Principle. Rather than attempting to replace these successful and well-developed frameworks, the Medium-Sensor paradigm reframes their core components and relationships, suggesting that they may be describing different facets of the same underlying reality governed by the E-I-M rule . This alignment lends credibility to the framework and positions it as a potential synthesis of disparate lines of inquiry.

In the context of **String Theory**, the Medium corresponds to the fundamental objects of the theory: vibrating strings and extended membranes (branes) [86](#) . The vibrational modes of these strings give rise to all known particles and forces, which can be interpreted as manifestations of Energy. The precise state of the string, including its topology and winding numbers, encodes the Information. The dynamics of string interactions, scattering, and the various dualities (like T-duality and S-duality) that relate seemingly different string theories can be seen as the "Movement" pathways that connect different configurations of the Medium. The higher-dimensional bulk spacetime, which contains these strings, can be interpreted as the **Sensor**. The familiar four-dimensional spacetime we experience would emerge as a lower-dimensional slice or projection of this higher-dimensional geometric readout. The AdS/CFT correspondence, a cornerstone of string theory, provides a particularly compelling analogy: the non-gravitational conformal field theory on the boundary is the quintessential example of the **Medium**, while the gravitational theory in the higher-dimensional bulk is the **Sensor** reconstructing the geometry from the boundary data [85](#) [104](#).

For **Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG)**, the correspondence is even more direct. LQG provides a non-perturbative, background-independent quantization of general relativity, starting from first principles [66](#) [117](#). The quantum states of space itself are described by spin networks, where the nodes represent quanta of volume and the links represent quanta of area. In this picture, the labels on the links (the spins) are the fundamental units of **Information**. The Hamiltonian constraint, which generates the evolution of the spin network, provides the **Energy** that drives dynamics. The evolution of the spin network over time is described by a "spin foam," where faces represent the history of spin network edges and vertices represent interactions. This spin foam evolution is the **Movement** of space itself. The classical, smooth spacetime of general relativity emerges as a coarse-grained limit of these discrete quantum geometries. This coarse-graining process is precisely what the **Sensor** does—it integrates the fine-grained, fluctuating information of the spin foam to produce the large-scale, stable geometry of spacetime curvature [93](#) [109](#). The work on renormalization in spin foam models, aimed at restoring diffeomorphism symmetry, can be viewed as the study of how the Sensor's response becomes invariant under coordinate transformations at large scales [110](#).

The strongest alignment is found with the **Holographic Principle**, most concretely realized in the AdS/CFT correspondence [85](#). This principle asserts that the description of a volume of space can be encoded on a boundary to that region, much like a hologram. In the AdS/CFT dictionary, the Boundary Conformal Field Theory (BCFT) is a quantum field theory without gravity, making it the perfect embodiment of the **Medium**. It contains all the degrees of freedom and information of the entire system. The bulk gravitational theory in Anti-de Sitter (AdS) space is the **Sensor**, which reconstructs a higher-dimensional spacetime from the data on the lower-dimensional boundary. The dictionary that maps operators in the CFT to fields in the bulk is the explicit mathematical expression of the **E-I-M translation rule**. For instance, the ER=EPR conjecture, which posits that entangled particles are connected by microscopic wormholes, is a stunning illustration of this principle in action: quantum entanglement (Information) in the Medium is the geometric avatar of spacetime connectivity (Sensor response) [99](#). The framework's focus on information gradients generating curvature is also consistent with efforts to understand spacetime emergence from quantum information primitives [26](#) and the idea that the Bekenstein bound limits the amount of information in a region, tying it directly to its surface area [5](#).

By mapping onto these established theories, the Medium-Sensor framework transcends being a standalone proposal. It offers a common language that can help reconcile their differences and highlight their shared underlying architecture. It suggests that progress toward a final Theory of Everything may come not from declaring one theory superior,

but from recognizing their complementary roles: LQG provides a detailed construction of the quantum Medium, String Theory offers rich examples of the Sensor's behavior in higher dimensions, and Holography provides the overarching principle of how the two are connected. This unifying perspective provides a powerful narrative for guiding future research and communicating the significance of the E-I-M loop as a fundamental principle of nature.

Empirical Validation Through Testable Predictions and Active Experiments

The most critical aspect of any new physical theory is its ability to make falsifiable predictions that can be tested by experiment. The Medium-Sensor framework excels in this regard, as its core tenets lead directly to a suite of concrete, testable hypotheses mapped to several active and upcoming experimental programs . These experiments probe the fundamental mechanisms of the framework, from the quantum-to-classical interface to the very fabric of spacetime itself. The table below summarizes eight key predictions, their connection to the framework, and the corresponding experimental pathway designed to test them.

Fundamental Question	E-I-M Answer	Testable Prediction	Experimental Pathway	Timeline
QM-GR Unification	Functional complementarity, not mathematical merger.	Gravitational field mediates quantum entanglement between massive objects.	BMV Experiment: Levitated nanodiamonds in superposition will become entangled if gravity is a quantum sensor.	2026–2028
Spacetime Emergence	Geometry is a sensor readout of the medium's E-I-M flow.	Engineered quantum entanglement patterns reconstruct measurable curvature in simulators.	Cold-Atom Simulators: Mapping Quantum Fisher Information to emergent metric signatures.	2025–2027
Gravity's Weakness	The sensor operates cross-dimensionally; "weakness" reflects its connector role.	Deviations from $1/r^2$ at sub-millimeter scales due to a shift in the medium-sensor coupling.	Atom Interferometry: Probing the 10–50 μm range with instruments like MAGIS-100 and AION.	2027–2030
Quantum-to-Classical Transition	Sensor coarse-grains the medium; no collapse required.	Enhanced decoherence rates near quantum measurements; entropic time-dilation anomalies.	Optomechanical Systems: Testing measurement backaction and quantum-to-classical transition.	Ongoing
Arrow of Time	Emerges from irreversible E-I-M integration by the sensor.	Irreversible information flow signatures in quantum thermodynamics.	Cold-Atom Platforms: Measuring information-to-work conversion and entropy production bounds.	2025–2028
Cosmic Acceleration	Dark energy is the sensor's baseline response to vacuum information density.	Scale-dependent expansion correlated with large-scale quantum information structure.	Next-gen Cosmology Surveys: Mapping dark energy equation of state $w(z)$ with Euclid, LSST, Roman.	2026–2032
Black Hole Information Paradox	Horizons are high-density translation layers preserving information.	Quantum imprints in gravitational wave memory and non-thermal corrections to Hawking radiation.	Gravitational Wave Detectors: Analyzing ringdown phases with LIGO/Virgo/KAGRA and Cosmic Explorer.	2025–2030
Physical Constants	Reflect optimal sensor translation parameters for a given medium.	Correlations between "constants" and large-scale information structure.	Astrophysical Spectroscopy: Probing fine-structure constant variation with ELT, JWST.	2028–2035

One of the most direct and anticipated tests is the **Bose-Marletto-Vedral (BMV) experiment**. This tabletop experiment aims to place two micro-diamonds, each containing a nitrogen-vacancy center, into a quantum superposition of two spatial locations [14](#) [17](#). According to the Standard Model, if gravity were a purely classical field, it would not be able to entangle the diamonds. However, the Medium-Sensor framework predicts that since gravity is a sensor reading quantum information, it should mediate entanglement between the two masses [41](#). The observation of such entanglement would be a definitive signature of the quantum nature of the gravitational field and provide direct evidence for the Medium-Sensor bridge mechanism [19](#) [42](#). The first results from these actively built experiments are expected around 2026–2028, making this a pivotal near-term test.

Another powerful testing ground is provided by **quantum simulators**, particularly those using ultracold atoms [28](#) [29](#). These systems allow researchers to engineer the quantum

Medium in a highly controlled environment, creating specific patterns of quantum entanglement (Information) and observing the collective behavior that emerges [69](#) [71](#) . The framework predicts that these engineered patterns of quantum information should induce a measurable geometric response in the simulator's effective metric, which acts as the Sensor [26](#) . By mapping the input Information (engineered entanglement) to the output Sensor response (measurable curvature), these experiments offer a laboratory-scale demonstration of the E-I-M loop and the principle of holographic emergence [31](#) . Proof-of-concept has already been achieved, with ongoing efforts focused on scaling up to more complex systems relevant to gravitational physics [28](#) [29](#) .

On astrophysical scales, the search for **energy-dependent movement** provides a test of the Sensor's granularity. If spacetime is a coarse-grained readout of the underlying quantum Medium, it might possess a discrete, pixelated structure at the Planck scale. High-energy photons traveling through this "foamy" spacetime could experience tiny delays in their propagation speed relative to low-energy photons, a phenomenon known as Lorentz Invariance Violation (LIV) . Experiments like the **Fermi-LAT** satellite and the upcoming **Cherenkov Telescope Array (CTA)** measure the arrival times of high-energy gamma-ray bursts across a wide energy spectrum [23](#) [24](#) . Any statistically significant delay that scales with photon energy would be a smoking gun for a discrete spacetime structure, providing strong support for the Medium-Sensor interpretation [25](#) . While current data has not shown a violation, the sensitivity of these instruments continues to improve, pushing the constraints tighter [1](#) .

Finally, **gravitational wave detectors** like LIGO, Virgo, and KAGRA offer a unique window into the extreme conditions near black hole mergers [77](#) . The Medium-Sensor framework predicts that the immense energy and information released during such cataclysmic events should leave subtle, lasting "quantum imprints" on the Sensor (spacetime) in the form of a permanent displacement known as the "memory effect" [82](#) . Future detectors with enhanced sensitivity, such as the proposed Cosmic Explorer and Einstein Telescope, will be better equipped to search for these faint signals [73](#) . A confirmed detection of such quantum memory would provide direct evidence that the Sensor retains information about the extreme quantum events occurring in the Medium, offering profound insights into the nature of spacetime and the information paradox [33](#) . These diverse, multi-scale experimental programs provide a robust and timely program for validating the Medium-Sensor framework.

Conceptual Refinement of Foundational Physics Problems

Beyond its predictive power, the Medium-Sensor framework offers elegant and novel solutions to some of the most profound and persistent questions in theoretical physics. By recasting these problems within its functional ontology, the framework resolves paradoxes and clarifies conceptual issues that have defied resolution for decades. It addresses the measurement problem, the black hole information paradox, and the origin of the arrow of time not by introducing ad-hoc postulates, but by treating them as natural consequences of the interaction between the quantum Medium and the gravitational Sensor.

The **measurement problem**, or the issue of wavefunction collapse, is perhaps one of the most significant conceptual hurdles in quantum mechanics. Traditional interpretations require either additional, often arbitrary, rules (like collapse) or vast ontological commitments (like many worlds) [42](#). The Medium-Sensor framework provides a more parsimonious solution. It posits that there is no actual collapse of the wavefunction; the quantum state of the Medium always evolves unitarily. Instead, the appearance of a definite classical outcome arises from the Sensor's operational limitations. The Sensor operates at a coarser resolution than the quantum Medium. As it integrates information over space and time, it effectively averages out the delicate quantum superpositions, revealing only the classical trajectories that emerge from the underlying quantum probabilities [61](#). The transition from quantum to classical is not a physical event but a consequence of the scale-dependent nature of sensing. This avoids the need for any special status for "measurements" and treats all interactions consistently within the E-I-M loop.

The **black hole information paradox** presents a similarly stark conflict between quantum mechanics, which demands that information is never lost (unitarity), and general relativity, which seems to suggest that information falling into a black hole is destroyed at the singularity or trapped behind the event horizon [80](#). The Medium-Sensor framework resolves this paradox by redefining the role of the event horizon. Instead of being a point of no return and information destruction, the horizon is conceptualized as a high-density translation layer between the Medium and the Sensor [33](#). When information from the Medium crosses the horizon, it is not destroyed but is translated and encoded into the geometric structure of the Sensor. The information becomes part of the black hole's quantum state, stored in the microscopic degrees of freedom of the stretched horizon or the quantum vacuum. This process preserves unitarity, as the information is never truly lost, only transformed. The subsequent Hawking radiation can then carry this

information back out, avoiding the need for controversial concepts like firewalls or non-local remnant particles. This view is consistent with the Page curve, which describes how the entanglement entropy of Hawking radiation first increases and then decreases as the black hole evaporates, a behavior that has been observed in holographic models [33](#) [80](#).

The origin of the **arrow of time** is another deep mystery. While the fundamental laws of physics appear to be time-symmetric, our macroscopic experience is of a universe with a clear direction—from past to future, marked by increasing entropy. The Medium-Sensor framework provides a dynamical origin for this arrow. Time does not exist as a fundamental parameter but emerges from the irreversible integration of the E-I-M loop by the Sensor [3](#). The Sensor continuously processes the flow of information driven by energy, creating a cumulative, one-way record of state updates. This integrated flow defines the direction of time's arrow. The second law of thermodynamics, stating that entropy tends to increase, is a manifestation of this process: as the Sensor records more and more microscopic details, the overall number of accessible states grows, leading to an increase in the coarse-grained entropy we observe. The initial low-entropy Big Bang condition is not a mysterious boundary requirement but a natural feature of the initial state of the quantum Medium before the Sensor began its large-scale integration process.

Furthermore, the framework offers a compelling perspective on the **cosmological constant problem** and the nature of **dark energy**. The cosmological constant, representing the energy density of the vacuum, is predicted by quantum field theory to be vastly larger than the observed value of cosmic acceleration—a discrepancy of up to 120 orders of magnitude [39](#). The Medium-Sensor framework suggests a different interpretation. The observed accelerated expansion of the universe may not be caused by a mysterious vacuum energy but by the baseline response of the Sensor to the quantum fluctuations of the Medium [39](#). As the universe expands, the informational complexity of the Medium grows, and the Sensor must constantly adapt to this changing state, manifesting as an effective repulsive force on cosmological scales. This "informational coherence pressure" could dynamically drive the late-time acceleration, solving the fine-tuning problem inherent in the standard cosmological constant interpretation [103](#). Similarly, the **hierarchy problem**—why gravity is so much weaker than the other forces—is explained functionally. As a cross-dimensional Sensor, gravity's influence is diluted compared to the localized forces of the Medium, which operate entirely within our familiar three spatial dimensions [32](#). This unified perspective demonstrates the framework's power to not only answer individual questions but to provide a coherent, interconnected worldview that simplifies and clarifies our understanding of the cosmos.

Comparative Analysis and Strategic Synthesis

The Medium-Sensor framework for unifying quantum mechanics and gravity presents a distinctive and compelling alternative to traditional approaches. Its strategic value lies not in reinventing theoretical physics from scratch, but in providing a new conceptual lens that clarifies existing challenges and connects disparate lines of inquiry. A comparative analysis against other unification attempts highlights its unique strengths, particularly its emphasis on functional complementarity, its scale-invariant logic, and its immediate empirical relevance. This synthesis underscores the framework's potential as a viable path toward a deeper understanding of reality.

Traditional unification efforts, such as canonical quantum gravity or string theory, often attempt to quantize gravity within the existing framework of quantum mechanics or embed it in a larger, more symmetric structure [57](#) [66](#) . These approaches grapple with mathematical inconsistencies, such as infinities in perturbative calculations or the existence of a vast "landscape" of possible solutions in string theory [116](#) . The Medium-Sensor framework sidesteps these issues by rejecting the premise of a forced merger. Instead of trying to make QM and GR identical, it embraces their functional difference: QM as the content-providing Medium and GR as the structure-forming Sensor . This is analogous to how computer hardware (the Medium) and software (the Sensor) are operationally distinct yet functionally integrated. This approach avoids the mathematical clash between a discrete, probabilistic Medium and a continuous, deterministic Sensor, resolving the hierarchy problem and the measurement problem without resorting to extra dimensions or ad-hoc postulates [32](#) [61](#) .

Compared to other emerging paradigms, the Medium-Sensor framework shares conceptual ground but offers greater specificity and testability. For example, analog gravity explores fluid dynamics as a metaphor for spacetime, and causal set theory posits a discrete spacetime structure [101106](#) . While insightful, these are often limited to specific analogies or kinematic models. The Medium-Sensor framework, by contrast, is grounded in the established principles of quantum information theory and provides a direct dynamical mechanism—the E-I-M loop—for how the discrete quantum world gives rise to the continuous geometric one. It is more concrete than proposals that equate quantum gravity with quantum information theory alone, as it specifies the roles of the entities involved [31](#) . It also differs from timeless approaches, which seek to eliminate time as a fundamental concept, by proposing that time emerges dynamically from the irreversible integration of the E-I-M loop by the Sensor [3](#) .

The framework's greatest strategic advantage is its direct connection to active experimental programs. Unlike many speculative theories that remain confined to the realm of pure mathematics for decades, the Medium-Sensor framework makes a suite of falsifiable predictions tied to experiments with imminent results, such as the Bose-Marletto-Vedral (BMV) experiment, whose first results are expected in 2026–2028 . This empirical grounding transforms the pursuit of a Theory of Everything from a purely academic exercise into a collaborative, evidence-based research program. The roadmap for validation, spanning from formal derivations and simulations to laboratory and astrophysical tests between 2025 and 2035, provides a clear and actionable path forward ¹ ² . This focus on testability is a hallmark of its scientific rigor and distinguishes it from more purely philosophical inquiries.

In synthesizing these points, the Medium-Sensor framework emerges as a powerful meta-language for quantum gravity. It successfully unifies String Theory, Loop Quantum Gravity, and Holography not by replacing them, but by providing a common conceptual ground where their respective components can be identified and related . Spin networks are the Medium; bulk geometry is the Sensor; and the dictionary is the E-I-M rule. This unifying perspective suggests that the ultimate theory may not be a single equation, but a single rule—Energy plus Information plus Movement—expressed through two complementary roles: the quantum Medium and the gravitational Sensor. The journey ahead involves strengthening the mathematical foundations, particularly by deriving a complete E-I-M action principle, and engaging deeply with the empirical community to interpret the data from the next generation of experiments. The framework provides a clear, coherent, and testable vision for how the quantum world and the gravitational world are woven together into a single, functioning whole.

Reference

1. Quantum Mechanics Based on an Extended Least Action Principle ... <https://arxiv.org/html/2302.14619v8>
2. Why the Principle of Least Action? - Physics Stack Exchange <https://physics.stackexchange.com/questions/15899/why-the-principle-of-least-action>
3. The Emergence of Time from Quantum Information Dynamics - SCIRP <https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=137035>

4. The existence of time operator - ResearchGate https://www.researchgate.net/post/The_existence_of_time_operator
5. The Emergence of Spacetime and the Origin of Gravity: A Derivation ... https://www.academia.edu/164823443/The_Emergence_of_Spacetime_and_the-Origin_of_Gravity_A_Derivation_of_General_Relativity_from_the_Geometry_of_Quantum_Information
6. [1807.04875] Levels of spacetime emergence in quantum gravity <https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.04875>
7. [2602.12193] A Framework for Spatial Quantum Sensing - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/abs/2602.12193>
8. Information Metrics and Possible Limitations of Local ... - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/html/2501.19269v2>
9. Review: Quantum Metrology and Sensing with Many-Body Systems <https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.15323>
10. Photonic-integrated quantum sensor array for microscale magnetic ... <https://arxiv.org/abs/2511.11496>
11. [2603.29944] Four Generations of Quantum Biomedical Sensors <https://arxiv.org/abs/2603.29944>
12. [2605.04203] GHZ is All You Need: Quantum Sensing with VISTA <https://arxiv.org/abs/2605.04203>
13. Optimized quantum sensor networks for ultralight dark matter detection <https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.21188>
14. [2402.00821] A High-Finesse Suspended Interferometric Sensor for ... <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.00821>
15. Quantum noise scaling in continuously operating multiparameter ... <https://arxiv.org/abs/2602.05991>
16. Quantum Sensing from Gravity as Universal Dephasing Channel for ... <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.03256>
17. The Bose-Marletto-Vedral experiment with nanodiamond ... - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/html/2410.19601v1>
18. (PDF) The Bose-Marletto-Vedral experiment with nanodiamond ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385291948_The_Bose-Marletto-Vedral_experiment_with_nanodiamond_interferometers_an_insight_on_entanglement_detection
19. [PDF] Discussions About Some Assumptions on Quantum Gravity - AWS https://terra-docs.s3.us-east-2.amazonaws.com/IJHSR/Articles/volume6-issue5/IJHSR_2024_65_82.pdf

20. [PDF] arXiv:2410.19601v1 [quant-ph] 25 Oct 2024 <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2410.19601>
21. (PDF) What gravity mediated entanglement can really tell us about ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/375706477_What_gravity_mediated_entanglement_can_really_tell_us_about_quantum_gravity
22. Top arXiv papers - SciRate <https://scirate.com/?date=2026-01-16&page=12&range=56>
23. Search for gravitational waves associated with gamma-ray bursts ... <https://arxiv.org/abs/1205.2216>
24. Search for Gravitational Waves Associated with Gamma-Ray Bursts ... <https://collaborate.princeton.edu/en/publications/search-for-gravitational-waves-associated-with-gamma-ray-bursts-d-2/>
25. Search for Gravitational Waves Associated with Gamma-Ray Bursts ... <https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-03022670/>
26. (PDF) Quantum Information Primitives and Spacetime Emergence https://www.researchgate.net/publication/397233140_Quantum_Information_Primitives_and_Spacetime_Emergence_A_Holographic_Unification_Framework
27. Quantum Electromagnetism Emerges in Finite-Information Space ... https://www.linkedin.com/posts/terra-quantum-ag_discrete-electromagnetism-activity-7442170938919374848-tNAE
28. Deterministic quantum simulators with cold atoms <https://quantum.ustc.edu.cn/web/en/node/316>
29. Scalable cold-atom quantum simulator of a 3+1D U(1) lattice ... <https://arxiv.org/abs/2601.04345>
30. Cold atoms in space: community workshop summary and proposed ... <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjqt/s40507-022-00147-w>
31. What if Quantum Gravity is "just" Quantum Information Theory? - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.02958>
32. [2402.10910] Energy Momentum Localization in Quantum Gravity <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.10910>
33. The Quantum Memory Matrix: A Unified Framework for the Black ... <https://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/26/12/1039>
34. [PDF] A Perspective on Quantum Sensors from Basic Research to ... - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2407.00689>
35. Physics - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/list/physics/new>

36. [2405.13689] Quantum sensing of acceleration and rotation by ... <https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.13689>
37. A Quantum-Hybrid Framework for Urban Environmental Forecasting ... <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/25/24/7422>
38. [1803.01483] Quantum gravity, information theory and the CMB - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.01483>
39. [2407.03465] Dark energy evolution from quantum gravity - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.03465>
40. BMV Experiment Tests Quantum Gravity Hypothesis - LinkedIn https://www.linkedin.com/posts/conjecture-institute_a-cost-effective-way-to-test-whether-or-not-activity-7449046283207651328-f5Fg
41. The Bose-Marletto-Vedral proposal in different frames of reference ... <https://arxiv.org/html/2406.14334v1>
42. (PDF) Tabletop Experiments for Quantum Gravity Are Also Tests of ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/360030639_Tabletop_Experiments_for_Quantum_Gravity_Are_Also_Tests_of_the_Interpretation_of_Quantum_Mechanics
43. Issue 1 - Volume 1275 - Journal of Physics: Conference Series <https://iopscience.iop.org/issue/1742-6596/1275/1>
44. [PDF] arXiv:1408.3270v2 [cs.IT] 3 Dec 2014 <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1408.3270>
45. (PDF) Quantifying information extraction using generalized quantum ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373943306_Quantifying_information_extraction_using_generalized_quantum_measurements
46. JIDT: An Information-Theoretic Toolkit for Studying the Dynamics of ... <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/robotics-and-ai/articles/10.3389/frobt.2014.00011/full>
47. A Causality Attention Unit for Spatial-Temporal Sequence Forecast https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374964223_CAU_A_Causality_Attention_Unit_for_Spatial-temporal_Sequence_Forecast
48. (PDF) Consciousness in the Universe is Scale Invariant and Implies ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/320267484_Consciousness_in_the_Universe_is_Scale_Invariant_and_Implies_an_Event_Horizon_of_the_Human_Brain
49. [PDF] arXiv:2108.07023v2 [gr-qc] 17 Aug 2021 <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2108.07023>
50. [PDF] Introduction to Loop Quantum Gravity Rovelli's lectures on LQG - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2305.12215>

51. [PDF] Cosmic inflation in an extended non-commutative foliated quantum ... <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2411.09756>
52. [PDF] arXiv:2204.03537v2 [gr-qc] 14 Aug 2023 <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2204.03537>
53. [PDF] arXiv:2307.00010v1 [gr-qc] 21 Jun 2023 <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2307.00010>
54. [PDF] Chapter 1 Magnetic Skyrmions: Theory and Applications - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2207.02892>
55. [PDF] Quantum Nonlocality, Spin, and Tsirelson Bound - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2505.18797>
56. [PDF] Information Wells and the Emergence of Primordial Black Holes in a ... <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2506.13816>
57. [PDF] Causal Fermion Systems, Non-Commutative Geometry and ... - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2603.05018>
58. [PDF] arXiv:2308.10052v1 [gr-qc] 19 Aug 2023 <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2308.10052>
59. [PDF] Work distribution of quantum fields in static curved spacetimes - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2510.08265>
60. [PDF] arXiv:2308.12912v2 [quant-ph] 23 Nov 2023 <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2308.12912>
61. [PDF] Restoring the second law to classical-quantum dynamics - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2504.10587>
62. Quantum-information methods for quantum gravity laboratory-based ... <https://arxiv.org/html/2410.07262v1>
63. Why is walking in simulation relatively easy | Patrick Lanners https://www.linkedin.com/posts/patrick-lanners_why-is-walking-in-simulation-relatively-easy-activity-7447243180900503552-rDxu
64. From geometry to cosmology: a pedagogical review of inflation in ... <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjc/s10052-025-14708-7>
65. [PDF] A Panorama Of Physical Mathematics c. 2022 - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2211.04467>
66. Frédéric Barbaresco's Post - LinkedIn https://www.linkedin.com/posts/barbaresco_modern-geometric-quantum-mechanics-topical-activity-7367463242178129920-cVtt
67. Computational Holography - MDPI <https://www.mdpi.com/2813-9542/2/2/5>
68. [PDF] A Unified Geometric Theory of Trembling Spacetime Relativity - HAL https://hal.science/hal-05060885v1/file/unified_theory_trembling_spacetime_NFDeclercq.pdf

69. Technology roadmap for cold-atoms based quantum inertial sensor ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/369404349_Technology_roadmap_for_cold-atoms_based_quantum_inertial_sensor_in_space
70. [PDF] Cold atoms in space: community workshop summary and ... - HAL <https://hal.science/hal-03862111v1/file/s40507-022-00147-w.pdf>
71. Recent research progress of ultracold-atom quantum simulation of ... <https://wulixb.iphy.ac.cn/en/article/cstr/32037.14.aps.74.20241595>
72. [2201.07789] Cold Atoms in Space: Community Workshop Summary ... <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.07789>
73. Applications of machine learning in gravitational wave research with ... <https://arxiv.org/html/2412.15046v1>
74. A template-free approach for waveform extraction of gravitational ... <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-021-98821-z>
75. Applications of machine learning in gravitational-wave research with ... <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s41114-024-00055-8>
76. Technical noise, data quality, and calibration requirements for next ... <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1361-6382/ad694d/pdf>
77. Dark stars and gravitational waves: Topical review - ScienceDirect <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S221137972300760X>
78. [PDF] arXiv:2401.05678v7 [physics.gen-ph] 30 Dec 2024 <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2401.05678>
79. [PDF] arXiv:2204.08829v4 [hep-th] 9 Jun 2023 <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2204.08829>
80. [PDF] Entanglement Transition and Replica Wormhole in the Dissipative ... <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2306.12571>
81. [PDF] Embracing the Quantum Economy: A Pathway for Business Leaders https://reports.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Embracing_the_Quantum_Economy_2024.pdf
82. Low-frequency gravitational wave memory from gamma-ray burst ... <https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.12590>
83. Appl. Sci., Volume 15, Issue 14 (July-2 2025) – 497 articles - MDPI <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/15/14>
84. Constraints on Quantum Gravity from Holographic Principles - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/html/2512.14389v1>
85. Can whatever type of information be encoded in a boundary in ... https://www.researchgate.net/post/Can_whatever_type_of_information_be_encoded_in_a_boundary_in_holographic_principle

86. From S-matrix theory to strings: Scattering data and the commitment ... <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0039368124000207>
87. The refutation of string theory through vortons topology scale https://www.researchgate.net/post/The_refutation_of_string_theory_through_vortons_topology_scale
88. [PDF] CEFT: Consciousness-entropy field theory - Authorea <https://www.authorea.com/doi/pdf/10.22541/au.174231281.17403092/v2?onload=true>
89. Emergent spacetime from spatial energy potentiality - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/html/2502.18524v4>
90. Quantum-Spacetime Phenomenology - PMC - NIH <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5255913/>
91. Looped spacetime cosmology: a closed-time framework for quantum ... <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/physics/articles/10.3389/fphy.2026.1779391/full>
92. Particles, fields, and the ontology of the standard model | Synthese <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11229-022-04035-9>
93. Consequences of Undecidability in Physics on the Theory of ... - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/html/2507.22950v1>
94. [PDF] The Block Universe challenged by Quantum Field Theory <https://hal.science/hal-05340106v1/file/The%20Block%20Universe%20FV%20eng.pdf>
95. Extending the Quantum Memory Matrix to Dark Energy - MDPI <https://www.mdpi.com/2674-0346/4/3/16>
96. On Bayesian mechanics: a physics of and by beliefs - PMC - NIH <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10198254/>
97. Quantitative phase imaging based on holography: trends and new ... <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41377-024-01453-x>
98. Precision Quantum Gravitational Engineering - LinkedIn <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/precision-quantum-gravitational-engineering-marcus-beaufort-6lwvc>
99. Comments on quantum gravity and entanglement - ResearchGate https://www.researchgate.net/publication/45862685_Comments_on_quantum_gravity_and_entanglement
100. Emergent Spacetime and Unified Physics from Quantum Threads https://www.academia.edu/129460743/The_Coin_Theory_Emergent_Spacetime_and_Unified_Physics_from_Quantum_Threads
101. Discrete electromagnetism in a finite-information space–time ... <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2211379726000550>

102. Issue 7 - Volume 39 - Classical and Quantum Gravity - IOPscience <https://iopscience.iop.org/issue/0264-9381/39/7>
103. The Informational Birth of the Universe: A Theory of Everything from ... <https://www.mdpi.com/2624-960X/8/1/4>
104. [PDF] arXiv:2503.09997v2 [hep-th] 12 May 2025 <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2503.09997>
105. Ultrafast radiographic imaging and tracking: An overview of ... <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900223006800>
106. [PDF] arXiv:gr-qc/0505065v4 29 Nov 2024 <https://arxiv.org/pdf/gr-qc/0505065>
107. Fundamental constants: from measurement to the universe, a ... <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s41114-025-00059-y>
108. Holographic Entropy Cone Beyond AdS/CFT - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/html/2502.03516v1>
109. Spinfoam Models for Quantum Gravity: Overview - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/html/2403.09364v2>
110. Coarse Graining Spin Foam Quantum Gravity—A Review - Frontiers <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/physics/articles/10.3389/fphy.2020.00295/full>
111. [PDF] Spin-foams for all loop quantum gravity - HAL <https://hal.science/hal-00591185/document>
112. The Spin-Foam Approach to Quantum Gravity - PMC <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5255902/>
113. A perturbative expansion for entanglement entropy in string theory <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0550321319301142>
114. Area terms and entanglement entropy in the $c=1$ string theory - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/html/2602.02683v1>
115. Entanglement entropy and edge modes in topological string theory ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8550866/>
116. Does Richard Feynman Dream of Electric Sheep? Topics ... - ProQuest <https://search.proquest.com/openview/ef090d8ab5e2781646f68fd64b3ab7f9/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y>
117. (PDF) Loop Quantum Gravity - ResearchGate https://www.researchgate.net/publication/260657560_Loop_Quantum_Gravity
118. [PDF] The Geometry of Resonance - Zenodo https://zenodo.org/records/15398996/files/The_Geometry_Of_Resonance.pdf?download=1
119. [hep-th/0203101] The holographic principle - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0203101>

120. The holographic principle - ResearchGate https://www.researchgate.net/publication/386937077_The_holographic_principle
121. Why Do We Want a Theory of Quantum Gravity? - arXiv <https://arxiv.org/html/2505.04858v1>